

DON G. RUSHING (*admitted pro hac vice*)
WILLIAM V. O'CONNOR, JR. (*admitted pro hac vice*)
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
12531 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100
San Diego, CA 92130-2040
Telephone: (858) 720-5100
Facsimile: (858) 720-5125
DRushing@mofo.com
WOConnor@mofo.com

CARRIE MCCREA HANLON
PYATT SILVESTRI & HANLON
701 Bridger Avenue, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Telephone: (702) 383-6000
Facsimile: (702) 477-0088
CHanlon@psh-law.com

Attorneys for Defendant
ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

REDA A. GINENA, NAHID I. GINENA, AMRE
R. GINENA, SABRINA KOBERT, M. MAGDY
H. RASIKH, M. SAMIR MANSOUR, AZZA
EID, NAZMI M. NAZMI, and HEBA NAZMI,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. CV-S-04-1304-RCJ-LRL

**ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.'S
OBJECTION TO THE
DECLARATION OF DIANA
FAIRECHILD**

I. GENERAL OBJECTION

Plaintiffs' Opposition to the motion for summary judgment under the Tokyo Convention makes a single reference to the declaration of Diana Fairechild in a footnote on page 15 of their brief. This alone demonstrates the irrelevance of her opinions offered in support of Plaintiff's Opposition. Nonetheless, Plaintiffs' submit her 12-page declaration in support of their Opposition without explanation as to how her statements have any bearing on the Court's

1 determination of Alaska Airlines' motion. Moreover, Ms. Fairechild is not qualified to offer an
2 expert opinion on the issues presented in the motion and the case in general. For example, she is
3 not a pilot, she has no security or law enforcement background, and has not flown with an airline
4 since the heightened security requirements following the events of 9/11. Ms. Fairechild's
5 opinions go beyond her area of expertise and are completely irrelevant to the Court's
6 determination of whether Alaska Airlines' is entitled to Tokyo Convention immunity.

7
8 Dated: January 23, 2006

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

9 By: /s/ Don G. Rushing

10 Don G. Rushing
William V. O'Connor, Jr.

11 Attorneys for Defendant
12 ALASKA AIRLINES, INC.

13
14 **ORDER**

15 THIS COURT, having considered the objection of Defendant Alaska Airlines to the
16 Declaration of Diana Fairechild, and after having heard the statements of counsel and being fully
17 apprised in the premises, HEREBY ORDERS that Alaska Airlines' Objection to the declaration
18 of Diana Fairechild is sustained and all references to it be stricken from the record.

19 BY THE COURT:

20 Dated _____, 2006

21 _____
22 Honorable Robert C. Jones
23 District Judge